



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/709,019	04/07/2004	Nam T. Chao	101896-0245	3018	
21125 75	590 01/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
NUTTER MCCLENNEN & FISH LLP			BONDERER	BONDERER, DAVID A	
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	02210-2604		3732		
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/709,019	CHAO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	D. Austin Bonderer	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of this iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	December 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	·		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,14,20-30,34,35  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 8-13 15-19 31-33 36-49 is/are  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	and 50 is/are withdrawn fron rejected.	n consideration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a  Applicant may not request that any objection to to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the coru  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Damas Ma	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	es 🗀 Maria a se	s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/709,019

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 7, 14, and 35 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12-10-04.
- 2. The examiner has also determined that claims 20-30, 34 and 50 do not read upon the elected species.
- 3. The examiner agrees with the applicant that claims 37 and 41 are generic, and they will be treated as such.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-6, 8-12, 18, 19, 31-32, and 36-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burgess et al.

Burgess discloses a cross connector comprising:

- A lockable central portion 22;
- A connector 16;
- 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> jaws 32 and 42;

Application/Control Number: 10/709,019

Art Unit: 3732

A locking mechanism that has a threaded and non-threaded portion 34;

- A central portion that has two members that slide relative to each other; and
- The surface of the clamps have a surface feature formed thereon to facilitate engagement of a rod.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 13, 15-17, 47, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess.

Burgess connector element is not integral with the central member. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to the members integral, since it has been held that forming an article in one piece which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1983).

8. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson et al.

Burgess lacks the use of ridges in the clamp. Jackson teaches the use of ridges to engage a rod. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Burgess with the ridges as taught by Jackson in order to better secure the rod.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/709,019

Art Unit: 3732

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 10. Claim 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 11. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term "push" in claim 45 is used by the claim to mean "pull", while the accepted meaning is "force away from." The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term.

#### Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nichols et al., Schafer, McKay, Thomas, Jr., Hoeck et al., Richelsoph et al., and young et al. disclose relevant art to the instant application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 571.272.4708. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on 571.272.4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dab

PEDRO PHILOCENE PRIMARY EXAMINER